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#### Abstract

This paper considers the sequence of fractional parts of multiples of the golden ratio. The main result characterizes the Fibonacci numbers by minimizing or maximizing this sequence.


## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Concerning the Golden Section there are two ratios - ratio 'big/small' and reciprocal ratio 'small/big' - which are calculated as follows

$$
\Phi=\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}=1.618 \ldots \text { and } \psi=\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}=0.618 \ldots
$$

Obviously,

$$
\Phi+\psi=\sqrt{5} \text { and, basically, } \psi^{2}=1-\psi
$$

The Fibonacci numbers are recursively defined from $F_{1}=1$ and $F_{2}=1$ by

$$
F_{n+2}=F_{n+1}+F_{n} \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

In this paper, $i, k, n$ always denote natural numbers $\geq 1$. Most properties of $\Phi, \psi$, and the Fibonacci sequence can be found in the well-known reference work [4]. In particular, Binet's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\Phi^{n}-(-\psi)^{n}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula allows both

- direct calculation of $F_{n}$ from $\Phi$ and
- calculating the infinitesimal difference between $\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_{n}}$ and $\Phi$

The latter means that $\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_{n}}-\Phi=\frac{(-\psi)^{n}}{F_{n}}$ and derives from:
$F_{n+1}-\Phi F_{n} \stackrel{(1.1)}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(-(-\psi)^{n+1}+\Phi(-\psi)^{n}\right)=(-\psi)^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\psi+\Phi)=(-\psi)^{n}$. Moreover, this proves $F_{n+1}=\Phi F_{n}+(-\psi)^{n}$ and, hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi F_{n}+(-\psi)^{n} \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ let floor $\lfloor x\rfloor$ be the greatest integer $\leq x$ and ceiling $\lceil x\rceil=\lfloor x\rfloor+1$. The fractional part $x-\lfloor x\rfloor$ is denoted by $\langle x\rangle$. Note that for $x, y, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\langle x+y\rangle= \begin{cases}\langle x\rangle+\langle y\rangle-1 & \text { if }\langle x\rangle+\langle y\rangle \geq 1  \tag{1.3}\\ \langle x\rangle+\langle y\rangle & \text { if }\langle x\rangle+\langle y\rangle<1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
x+\delta \in \mathbb{N} \text { and }-1<\delta<1 \text { implies } x+\delta= \begin{cases}\lceil x\rceil & \text { if } \delta>0  \tag{1.4}\\ \lfloor x\rfloor & \text { if } \delta \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

These formulas will be useful later.

## 2. The Golden Sequence

The Golden Sequence is defined by the fractional parts of $n \Phi$

$$
\langle\Phi\rangle,\langle 2 \Phi\rangle,\langle 3 \Phi\rangle, \ldots
$$

Since $\Phi=1+\psi$, it holds $\langle n \Phi\rangle=\langle n \psi\rangle$ for all $n \geq 1$. Figure 1 illustrates the initial values of the Golden Sequence, using different symbols for $\langle n \Phi\rangle$ if $n$ is a Fibonacci number.


Figure 1. The Golden Sequence - Fibonacci elements emphasized
The subsequences $\left\langle F_{1} \Phi\right\rangle,\left\langle F_{3} \Phi\right\rangle,\left\langle F_{5} \Phi\right\rangle,\left\langle F_{7} \Phi\right\rangle, \ldots$ and $\left\langle F_{2} \Phi\right\rangle,\left\langle F_{4} \Phi\right\rangle,\left\langle F_{6} \Phi\right\rangle, \ldots$ are monotone. This results from
Lemma 2.1. (cf. [3], p. 85, exercise 31) For all $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\left\langle F_{2 k-1} \Phi\right\rangle=\psi^{2 k-1} \text { and }\left\langle F_{2 k} \Phi\right\rangle=1-\psi^{2 k}
$$

Proof. By (1.2) and (1.4), $F_{2 k-1} \Phi+(-\psi)^{2 k-1}=\left\lfloor F_{2 k-1} \Phi\right\rfloor$. Thus, $\left\langle F_{2 k-1} \Phi\right\rangle=\psi^{2 k-1}$. For the second part, again by (1.2) and (1.4), $F_{2 k} \Phi+(-\psi)^{2 k}=\left\lceil F_{2 k} \Phi\right\rceil=\left\lfloor F_{2 k} \Phi\right\rfloor+1$. Thus, $\left\langle F_{2 k} \Phi\right\rangle=1-\psi^{2 k}$.

Now, the Fibonacci elements - odd or even subscripts - of the Golden Sequence are shown to be extreme - minimal or maximal, respectively - until the next Fibonacci element but one.
Lemma 2.2. For all $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$
(a) $\quad \psi^{2 k-1} \leq\langle i \Phi\rangle$ for all $1 \leq i<F_{2 k+1}$ and
(b) $1-\psi^{2 k} \geq\langle i \Phi\rangle$ for all $1 \leq i<F_{2 k+2}$

Proof. By 'interlaced' induction on $k$. (a) holds for $k=1$ since $F_{3}=2$ and $\psi^{1} \leq\langle\Phi\rangle$. (b) for $k=1$ derives from $F_{4}=3$ and $1-\psi^{2}=\psi \geq\langle\Phi\rangle,\langle 2 \Phi\rangle=2 \psi-1=0.236 \ldots$

Suppose (a) and (b) hold for $k$.
(a) For $k+1$, (a) follows since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{2 k+1}<\langle i \Phi\rangle \text { for all } F_{2 k+1}<i<F_{2 k+3} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For arbitrary $i_{0}$ between $F_{2 k+1}$ and $F_{2 k+3}$ there exists $j_{0}<F_{2 k+2}$ such that $i_{0}=F_{2 k+1}+j_{0}$.

## THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

By $(b), 1-\psi^{2 k} \geq\left\langle j_{0} \Phi\right\rangle$. By Lemma 2.1, $\left\langle F_{2 k+1} \Phi\right\rangle=\psi^{2 k+1}$. Thus, $\left\langle F_{2 k+1} \Phi\right\rangle+\left\langle j_{0} \Phi\right\rangle \leq$ $\psi^{2 k+1}+1-\psi^{2 k}<1$. Equation (1.3) yields $\left\langle i_{0} \Phi\right\rangle=\left\langle F_{2 k+1} \Phi+j_{0} \Phi\right\rangle=\left\langle F_{2 k+1} \Phi\right\rangle+\left\langle j_{0} \Phi\right\rangle$. As $\left\langle j_{0} \Phi\right\rangle>0$ it follows $\left\langle i_{0} \Phi\right\rangle>\left\langle F_{2 k+1} \Phi\right\rangle=\psi^{2 k+1}$ and (2.1) is proved.
(b) For $k+1$, (b) follows since

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\psi^{2 k+2}>\langle i \Phi\rangle \text { for all } F_{2 k+2}<i<F_{2 k+4} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For arbitrary $i_{0}$ between $F_{2 k+2}$ and $F_{2 k+4}$ there exists $j_{0}<F_{2 k+3}$ such that $i_{0}=F_{2 k+2}+j_{0}$.
By (a) for $k+1, \psi^{2 k+1} \leq\left\langle j_{0} \Phi\right\rangle$. Again by Lemma 2.1, $\left\langle F_{2 k+2} \Phi\right\rangle=1-\psi^{2 k+2}$. Thus, $\left\langle F_{2 k+2} \Phi\right\rangle+\left\langle j_{0} \Phi\right\rangle \geq 1-\psi^{2 k+2}+\psi^{2 k+1}>1$. Equation (1.3) yields $\left\langle i_{0} \Phi\right\rangle=\left\langle F_{2 k+2} \Phi+j_{0} \Phi\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle F_{2 k+2} \Phi\right\rangle+\left\langle j_{0} \Phi\right\rangle-1$. As $\left\langle j_{0} \Phi\right\rangle-1<0$ it follows $\left\langle i_{0} \Phi\right\rangle<\left\langle F_{2 k+2} \Phi\right\rangle=1-\psi^{2 k+2}$ and (2.2) is proved.

Theorem 2.3. A positive integer $n$ is a Fibonacci number if and only if
(a) $\langle n \Phi\rangle<\langle i \Phi\rangle$ for all $1 \leq i<n$
or $\quad(b)\langle n \Phi\rangle>\langle i \Phi\rangle$ for all $1 \leq i<n$

Moreover,
(a) holds iff $n=F_{2 k-1}$ for some $k \geq 1$, and
(b) holds iff $n=F_{2 k} \quad$ for some $k \geq 1$

Proof. It suffices to show the 'moreover' parts. Assume (a) holds. Let $k$ be maximal such that $F_{2 k-1} \leq n$. Thus, $F_{2 k+1}>n$. By Lemma 2.2, it follows $\psi^{2 k-1} \leq\langle n \Phi\rangle$. Now, $F_{2 k-1}=n$ will be shown by reductio ad absurdum. Suppose $F_{2 k-1}<n$. By $(a),\langle n \Phi\rangle<\left\langle F_{2 k-1} \Phi\right\rangle=\psi^{2 k-1}$. This contradicts $\psi^{2 k-1} \leq\langle n \Phi\rangle$.

Conversely, let $n=F_{2 k-1}$ for some $k \geq 1$. (a) is true if $k=1$, since $n=F_{1}=1$, and, if $k>1$, by Lemma 2.2, for all $1 \leq i<F_{2 k-1}$ it holds $\langle i \Phi\rangle \geq \psi^{2 k-3}>\psi^{2 k-1}=\left\langle F_{2 k-1} \Phi\right\rangle=\langle n \Phi\rangle$.

Suppose (b) holds. Let $k$ be maximal such that $F_{2 k} \leq n$. Thus, $F_{2 k+2}>n$. By Lemma 2.2, it follows $1-\psi^{2 k} \geq\langle n \Phi\rangle$. Again, $F_{2 k}=n$ will be shown by reductio ad absurdum. Suppose $F_{2 k}<n$. $\mathrm{By}(b),\langle n \Phi\rangle>\left\langle F_{2 k} \Phi\right\rangle=1-\psi^{2 k}$. This contradicts $1-\psi^{2 k} \geq\langle n \Phi\rangle$.

Conversely, let $n=F_{2 k}$ for some $k \geq 1$. (b) is true if $k=1$, since $n=F_{2}=1$, and, if $k>1$, by Lemma 2.2, for all $1 \leq i<F_{2 k}$ it holds $\langle i \Phi\rangle \leq 1-\psi^{2 k-2}<1-\psi^{2 k}=\left\langle F_{2 k} \Phi\right\rangle=\langle n \Phi\rangle$.

Characterizing conditions, other than that of Theorem 2.3, are stated in [1], i.e., a positive integer $n$ is a Fibonacci number if and only if $5 n^{2}-4$ or $5 n^{2}+4$ is a complete square. This is a special case of a more general result from [2].
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